Name and brief description of proposal / policy / service being assessed 2014/15 School Budget Process The purpose of this report is to provide an update on the 2014/15 budget allocation for Schools. Information used to analyse the effects on equality				
	Could particularly benefit (X)	May adversely impact (X)	How different groups could be affected: Summary of impacts	Details of actions to reduce negative or increase positive impact (or why action not possible)
People from different ethnic groups	X	X	A) <u>Secondary Schools Prior Attainment Factor</u> - Any pupil failing level 4 Maths or English	This decision will have a minimal
Men, women (including maternity/pregnancy impact), transgender people Disabled people or carers People from different faith groups Lesbian, gay or bisexual people		Included in the DfE's funding reforms was an optional factor that LA's could use in their local formulas, this factor targets those pupils failingpupils as the recommended allocation method will see a gain or loss to each seconda	impact on schools budget and pupils as the recommended allocation method will see a minimal gain or loss to each secondary school budget not exceeding £2k.	
Older or younger people Other (e.g. marriage/civil partnership, looked after children, cohesion/good relations, vulnerable children/adults)			failing level 4 Maths or English. The DfE's reasoning for this is to reflect the significant reduction in pupils achieving level 4 in English and Maths. Whilst this is true nationally Nottingham City is not following the same trend and this is reflected in the DSG allocation. This cost associated with widening the criteria could not be contained within the current DSG	

	allocation and would create future budget pressures.To manage this budget gap it is recommended that the local rate be reduced from £832.23 per pupil (pp) to an average rate based on pupil numbers; October 2013 census identified 3,451 pupils aligning to the criteria which gives an average rate of £393.98 pp.This decision to use this factor was considered as part of the 2013/14 budget process which ensured no equality issues.There are no staffing issues generated by this decision.					
Outcome(s) of equality impact assessment:						
No major change needed X Adjust the policy/proposal Adverse impact but continue Stop and remove the policy/proposal Arrangements for future monitoring of equality impact of this proposal / policy / service:						
There will be ongoing monitoring of the level of pupils not a						
Approved by (manager signature):	Date sent to equality team for publishing:					
Alison Michlaska, Corporate Director for Children's & F (01158 763 332	amilies					
* alison.michlaska@nottinghamcity.gov.uk						